

Complex organics in the Horsehead PDR

Jérôme PETY IRAM & Obs. de Paris

and

Pierre GRATIER, Viviana GUZMAN Sébastien BARDEAU, Juergen GAUSS, Maryvonne GERIN, Javier GOICOECHEA, Jacques LE BOURLOT, Franck LE PETIT, Karin OBERG, Evelyne ROUEFF,

Albrecht SIEVERS, Dahbia TALBI

KIDA, May 5-7th, 2015

The Horsehead mane ID card: I. The environment Credit: Rogelio Bernal Andreo



- Exciting star: σ Ori (O9.5V) at 0.5° (3.5 pc), PA 76°. ζ Ori either shadowed (Philipp et al. 2006) or in the foreground.
- Far–UV intensity: $G_0 = 100$ (Habing) or $\chi = 60$ (Draine).

Complex organics in the Horsehead PDR

The Horsehead mane ID card: II. The global structure

- KPNO H α + BIMA ¹²CO J=1-0 (Pound et al. 2003) \Rightarrow Typical pillar;
- IRAM/30m C¹⁸O J=2-1 (Hily-Blant et al. 2005) \Rightarrow Neck in solid rotation;
- JCMT 850 μ m and 450 μ m continuum (Ward-Thompson et al. 2006) \Rightarrow West condensation (2 M_{\odot} in 0.31 × 0.13 pc) dynamics dominated by the ionisation front;
- ISO 7 μ m continuum (Abergel et al. 2003) \Rightarrow Edge-on structure, star in plane-of-sky.



0

30

25

20

15

10

5

40

20

The Horsehead mane ID card: III. Density profile NTT/SOFI H₂ 2.1 μ m + IRAM/PdBI ¹²CO and C¹⁸O (Habart et al. 2005)



J. Pety, 2015

The Horsehead mane ID card: IV.1 A far UV illuminated PDR NTT/SOFI H₂ 2.1 μ m + IRAM/PdBI HCO (Gerin et al. 2009)



- 1.5 K HCO lines at 15" from edge imply
 - Illuminated: $A_v \sim 1.5$,
 - Warm: $T_{\text{gas}} \sim 100 200 \text{ K},$
 - Relatively dense: $n_{\rm H} \sim 4 \times 10^4 \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$.
- HCO Abundances in PDR gas
 - $[HCO]/[H_2] \sim 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$,
 - [HCO]/[H¹³CO⁺] \sim 55,
 - [HCO]/[HCO⁺] \sim 1.
- HCO: A surface tracer of dense FUV illuminated molecular gas.

The Horsehead mane ID card: IV.2 In front of a shielded, dense core IRAM/PdBI $H^{13}CO^+$ and DCO^+ (Pety et al. 2007)



- 4 K DCO⁺ lines less than 50" from edge imply
 - Shielded: $A_v \ge 10$,
 - Cool: 10-20 K,
 - Dense: $n(H_2) \ge 2 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$.
- Fractionation levels
 - $[DCO^+]/[HCO^+] = 2\%$ in dense core.
 - $[DCO^+]/[HCO^+] < 0.1\%$ in PDR gas.

The Horsehead mane ID card: IV.3 Wrapped in a lower density halo IRAM/PdBI CS J=2-1 + IRAM/30m CS, and $C^{34}S$ (Goicoechea et al. 2006)



Presence of a 5×10^4 cm⁻³ halo.

0.1

-0.1

0.2

-0.1

100

-100

0.2

-0.1

0.1

0.2 0.1

-0.1

([t*]) 0.2

Intensity n -0.1

400

COR 0.1

CORE

([L⁴F]) 0.2 0.1 alty 0 220000

90000

200 őx (**)

220000

90000

140000

140000

210000

130000

85000

300

210000

130000

85000

C180(1-0)

230000

95000

100

240000

150000

100000

Rest frequency (MHz)

250000

105000

CC

160000

The Horsehead WHISPER line survey (PI: Pety, Guzmán, Gratier et al.) Wideband High-resolution Iram-30m Surveys at two Positions with Emir Receivers

260000

110000

270000

170000

115000

IRAM-30m telescope

 \sim 75 hours per position

	1 mm	2 mm	3 mm
Bandwidth	73 GHz	34 GHz	36 GHz
Resolution	195 kHz	49 kHz	49 kHz
Sensitivity	8.6 mK	18.5 mK	8.1 mK

2 positions observed \Rightarrow Detailed comparison of the chemistry of UV-illuminated and UV-shielded gas

30 species (plus their isotopologues) are detected with up to 7 atoms



The Horsehead PDR





CF⁺ emission in the Horsehead



CF⁺: as a measure of the fluorine abundance

Guzmán et al. 2012a

Simple chemistry:

- Formation: $HF + C^+ \xrightarrow{k_1} CF^+ + H$
- Destruction: $CF^+ + e^- \xrightarrow{k_2} C + F$
 - $CF^+ + h\nu \xrightarrow{k_{pd}} C^+ + H$

Fluorine abundance:

- Solar value
 F/H= 2.6 × 10⁻⁸
 (Asplund et al. 2009)
- Diffuse atomic gas F/H= 1.8 × 10⁻⁸ (Snow et al. 2007)
- Diffuse molecular clouds F/H= (0.5 - 0.8) × 10⁻⁸ (Sonnentrucker et al. 2010)

$$\Rightarrow N(\mathrm{CF}^+) \simeq \frac{k_1}{(k_2 + \chi k_{pd})} [\mathrm{F}] n_{\mathrm{H}} I \quad [\mathrm{cm}^{-2}]$$

Horsehead: low UV field $\rightarrow k_2 \gg \chi k_{pd}$



CF^+ as a proxy of C^+

Guzmán et al. 2012a

 C^+ is a key species in the interstellar medium

- Tracer of the neutral gas and the warm ionized medium (H II regions).
- Dominant gas phase reservoir of carbon in the diffuse ISM
- Its 1.9 THz (158 µm) fine structure transition is the main cooling mechanism of the diffuse gas.

But

 C⁺ can only be observed from space at low angular resolution (Herschel at 12", SOFIA at 15")

Find tracers of C^+ that can be observed from the ground at much higher spatial resolution (ALMA and NOEMA)

We propose CF^+ can be used as a tracer of C^+ associated to molecular gas that can be observed from the ground



Origin of the double peak in CF⁺

Guzmán et al. 2012b



CF⁺ is the only species detected in the survey showing a double peak line profile

- Kinematic origin?
- Hyperfine structure?

CF⁺ nuclear spin I = 1/2 $\rightarrow \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{I}$ F = I + J, I + J - 1, ..., |I - J|

The energy levels are given by

$$E = E_J + \frac{C_I}{2} [F(F+1) - I(I+1) - J(J+1)]$$



 C_I : Spin rotation constant

Ab initio calculations by J. Gauss $\rightarrow C_I = 229.2 \text{ kHz}$

Consistent with observations

A new species in the ISM: Tentatively attributed to C_3H^+



- Consistent set of 8 unidentified lines towards the PDR position.
- Linear rotor, with a ¹Σ electronic ground state.
- The deduced rotational constant is close to that of I-C₃H.
- Reactive molecule with a spatial distribution similar to small hydrocarbon chains.
 - \Rightarrow Most probable candidate: C₃H⁺



Controversy on the attribution: C_3H^+ or C_3H^- ?



- Huang et al. 2013: High-accuracy quantum chemical calculations to compute the spectroscopic constants of C₃H⁺
- Fortenberry et al. 2013 proposed that a more plausible candidate is the hydrocarbon anion C₃H⁻

The lines are detected in emission in the Orion Bar (Cuadrado et al. in prep) and in absorption toward the Sgr B2(N) (McGuirre et al. 2013)

 \rightarrow Confirms the presence of the carrier in the ISM

Problems:

- 1. No anions have detected in the Horsehead PDR (Aguńdez et al. 2008)
 - ► $C_4H^-/C_4H \le 0.033$
 - ► $C_6H^-/C_6H \le 8.9$
 - ► $CN^{-}/CN \le 0.55$
- 2. $C_3H^-/C_3H \sim 57\%$, would be the highest anion to neutral ratio detected in the ISM so far!
- 3. The lines are not detected in the dark cloud TMC 1 and IRC+10216, where other anions have been already detected.

A direct measurement in the laboratory is necessary to provide a definitive assignment and close the controversy.

Laboratory, ab initio confirmations of the attribution to C_3H^+ and other detections

Laboratory confirmation

- Brünken et al., Laboratory Rotational Spectrum of I-C3H+ and Confirmation of its Astronomical Detection, 2014, *ApJ*, 783, 77.
- McCarthy et al., A Laboratory Study of C3H+ and the C3H Radical in Three New Vibrationally Excited 2Σ States Using a Pin-Hole Nozzle Discharge Source, 2015, A&A, 575, 82.

ab initio confirmation

- Botschwina et al., Strong Theoretical Support for the Assignment of B11244 to I-C3H+, 2014, A&A, 564, 64L.
- Mladenović, The B11244 story: Rovibrational calculations for C3H+ and C3Hrevisited, 2014, *ApJ*, 796, 139.

Other detections

- Cuadrado et al., The chemistry and spatial distribution of small hydrocarbons in UV-irradiated molecular clouds: the Orion Bar PDR, 2015, *ApJ*, 800, 33.
- Mc Guire et al., in prep.

Small hydrocarbons and PAHs: On the need of a Top-Down chemistry IRAM/PdBI CCH, c-C₃H₂ and C₄H (Pety et al. 2005)



- Good spatial correlations of small hydrocarbon between them and with ISO 7 μ m.
- Best PDR model fails to reproduce the abundances of the small hydrocarbon.
- Possible explanations:
 - Photo-erosion of PAHs (large C reservoirs).

Small hydrocarbons and PAHs: On the need of a Top-Down chemistry IRAM/PdBI C₃H⁺ (Guzmán et al., 2015)



Complex organics in the Horsehead PDR

J. Pety, 2015

Small hydrocarbons and PAHs: On the need of a Top-Down chemistry IRAM/PdBI C₃H⁺ (Guzmán et al., 2015)



- C₃H⁺ is a key intermediate species in the hydrocarbon chemistry.
- The abundances of C_3H^+ are closer to models than the CCH and C_3H_2 ones, but the brightness profile is not well reproduced by the models.



Formation of H₂CO and CH₃OH

Gas-phase:



Efficient

Inefficient

Garrod et al. 2006 Geppert et al. 2006 Grain surface chemistry:

$$\mathsf{CO} \to \mathsf{HCO} \to \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{CO} \to \mathsf{CH}_3\mathsf{O} \to \mathsf{CH}_3\mathsf{OH}$$

- Lab. experiments: Both species can be formed efficiently in the ices (Watanabe et al. 2004, Fuchs et al. 2009)
- ISO and Spitzer observations: dust grains are covered by ice mantles (Öberg et al. 2008, Bottinelli et al. 2010)
 - $[CH_3OH_{ice}/H_2O_{ice}] \sim 1 30\%$

•
$$[H_2CO_{ice}/H_2O_{ice}] \sim 6\%$$



Formation of H₂CO and CH₃OH: Grain surface chemistry



- Hot cores
- Hot corino
- High UV illuminated PDRs
- $T_{\text{sublimation}} \simeq 40 \text{ K} (\text{H}_2\text{CO})$
- $T_{\text{sublimation}} \simeq 80 \text{ K} (CH_3OH)$

- UV-shielded dense cores (secondary photons)
- Low UV illuminated PDRs

In the Horsehead $T_{dust} \simeq 20 - 30$ K \rightarrow Clean environment to isolate the role of photodesorption.

Different H_2CO formation mechanism



Guzmán et al. 2013

PDR: grain surface Dense core: gas-phase

Evidence:

- Different ortho-to-para ratio (2 in the PDR, 3 in the core)
- Spatial distribution $\rightarrow CH_3OH$ depletion at core
- Radiative transfer analysis of CH₃OH yields lower gas density at core
- Pure gas-phase model can reproduce H₂CO abundance at the dense core

CH₃OH:

- \rightarrow envelope around dense core $\rm H_2CO$:
- \rightarrow envelope and dense core itself

Gas-phase vs. Grain surface chemistry



Guzmán et al. 2013

PDR models: Meudon PDR Code

Evelyne Roueff Le Petit et al. (2006) Le Bourlot et al. (2012)

```
 Pure gas-phase
 H<sub>2</sub>CO: CORE ✓, PDR ✗
 CH<sub>3</sub>OH: CORE: ✗, PDR ✗
```

Gas-phase vs. Grain surface chemistry



Guzmán et al. 2013

PDR models: Meudon PDR Code

Evelyne Roueff Le Petit et al. (2006) Le Bourlot et al. (2012)

- Pure gas-phase H₂CO: CORE ✓, PDR ✗ CH₃OH: CORE: ✗, PDR ✗
- ► Gas-phase + grain surface

 \Rightarrow Photo-desorption is needed to explain the observed H₂CO and CH₃OH abundance in the PDR.

Complex molecules: HCOOH, CH₂CO, CH₃CHO, CH₃CCH

Present high abundances in:

- Hot cores (high-mass stars)
- Hot corino (low-mass stars)
- Shocked gas

Regions where $T_{kin} > 100 \text{ K} \rightarrow \text{evaporation of ices or sputtering}$

- Also detected in a cold prestellar core (Bacmann et al. 2012)
 - \rightarrow Challenged the current formation scenario of complex molecules on dust grains
- And now we detect them in a PDR



Complex molecules in the Horsehead

Guzmán et al. accepted for the Faraday Discussions



Rotational temperatures

Guzmán et al. accepted for the Faraday Discussions

Rotational temperatures

- CH₂CO and CH₃CHO: $T_{\rm rot} \leq 20$ K
- CH₃CCH: $T_{\rm rot} \sim 50$ K
- \rightarrow 'Cold' molecules

Abundances:

- ► 10⁻¹¹ 10⁻¹⁰
- [HCOOH], [CH₂CO], and [CH₃CHO] are 3 − 4 times larger in the PDR than in the dense core
 → Enhanced due to UV-field?
- $[CH_3CCH]_{PDR} \simeq 1.5[CH_3CCH]_{core}$



Abundances

Open circles: **Hot core sources** from Bisschop et al. 2007 Diamonds: **Horsehead PDR and dense core**



- ► [X/H₂] are similar in the Horsehead and in hot cores
- ▶ [X/CH₃OH] are higher in the Horsehead
 - \rightarrow In hot cores, CH_3OH and other molecules trace different regions

Formation on grains

CH₂CO and CH₃CHO are thought to form on the surface of dust grains

$$CO \xrightarrow{H} HCO \xrightarrow{C} HCCO \xrightarrow{H} CH_2CO \xrightarrow{2H} CH_3CHO$$

HCOOH ices have been observed in star-forming regions (Keane et al. 2001)

In the Horsehead, the enhanced abundances towards the PDR compared to the dense core suggests that their formation is more efficient in the presence of far-UV photons.



Consistent with photodesorption

Relatively complex nitriles: CH₃CN,CH₃NC, HC₃N

- ► Important for pre-biotic chemistry → parent molecules of many amino acids (Hudson et al. 2008)
- $CH_3CN \rightarrow \text{good thermometer for high densities}$ ($\geq 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$)
- CH₃CN emission is enhanced in star forming regions containing ultracompact H II regions (Purcell et al. 2006)
- Tracers of hot cores and hot corinos
- Tracers of shocked gas (Codella et al. 2009)

Formation: Gas-phase or grain surface chemistry?



First clear detection of CH_3NC at mm waves: $\Rightarrow [CH_3NC]/[CH_3CN] = 0.15 \pm 0.02$ (Gratier et al., 2013)



J. Pety, 2015

Nitriles in the Horsehead: CH₃CN and HC₃N

Gratier, Pety, Guzmán et al. 2013



- Enhanced abundance of precursors in the PDR
- UV photo-processing of N bearing ices (C₂H₅NH₂) followed by photodesorption (Danger et al. 2011)

The Horsehead nebula is a good benchmark for PDR modeling and astrochemistry

CF⁺ A new diagnostic of UV illuminated gas and a potential proxy of the C⁺ emission associated to molecular gas (Guzmán et al. 2012a,b).

Detection of a new species in the ISM

Recently confirmed to be C_3H^+ , it supports the top-down scenario of formation of the small hydrocarbons from PAHs and small grains (Pety et al. 2012, Guzmán et al. 2015).

Formaldehyde and methanol are as abundant in the PDR as in the dense core Photo-desorption of ices is an efficient mechanism to release molecules into the gas phase (Guzmán et al. 2011, 2013)

The first detection of the complex organic molecules in a PDR HCOOH, CH₂CO, CH₃CHO, and CH₃CCH reveal the degree of chemical complexity reached in the UV illuminated neutral gas (Guzmán et al. 2014).

CH₃CN as a special case 30 times more abundant in the PDR than in the dense core

- \Rightarrow A specific mechanism, related to the presence of FUV photons, to produce it (Gratier et al. 2013).
 - Gas phase?
 - Ice photo-processing?
 - Top-down chemistry, e.g., photo-destruction of DIB carriers?

Next step:

Deep 3'' imaging of complex organic molecules with ALMA.

Complex organics in the Horsehead PDR

The Horsehead WHISPER 3mm line survey

http://www.iram-institute.org/~horsehead/



Guzman et al. 2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2014, and 2015 Gratier et al. 2013 Pety et al. 2013

Bibliography

- Gerin, M., Goicoechea, J. R., Pety, J., et al. 2009, A&A, 494, 977
- Goicoechea, J. R., Pety, J., Gerin, M., et al. 2006, A&A, 456, 565
- Goicoechea, J. R., Pety, J., Gerin, M., et al. 2009, A&A, 498, 771
- Gratier, P., Pety, J., Guzmán, V., et al. 2013, A&A, 557, A101
- Guzmán, V., Pety, J., Goicoechea, J. R., et al. 2011, A&A, 534, A49
- Guzmán, V., Pety, J., Gratier, P., et al. 2012, A&A, 543, L1
- Guzmán, V., Roueff, E., Gauss, J., et al. 2012, A&A, 548, A94
- Guzmán, V., Goicoechea, J. R., Pety, J., et al. 2013, A&A, 560, A73
- Guzmán, V., Pety, J., Goicoechea, J. R., et al. 2014, Faraday Discussion, 168, 103
- Guzmán, V., Pety, J., Goicoechea, J. R., et al. 2015, ApJ, 800, L33
- Habart, E., Abergel, A., Walmsley, C. M., et al. 2005, A&A, 437, 177
- Pety, J., Teyssier, D., Fossé, D., et al. 2005, A&A, 435, 885
- Pety, J., Goicoechea, J. R., Gerin, M., et al. 2007, A&A, 464, L41
- Pety, J., Gratier, P., Guzmán, V., et al. 2012, A&A, 548, A68
- Teyssier, D., Fossé, D., Gerin, M., et al. 2004, A&A, 417, 135